1	GEORGE K. CHEBAT (034232)	
2	George@EnaraLaw.com	
	ROSS P. MEYER (028473) Ross@EnaraLaw.com	
3	Enara Law PLLC	
4	7631 East Greenway Road, Suite B-2	
	Scottsdale, Arizona 85260	
5	Telephone: (602) 687-2010	
6	Filings@EnaraLaw.com	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
8	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
9		
	Valentino Dimitrov, individually, and on	Case No.: 2:23-CV-00226-PHX-DJH
10	behalf of all others similarly situated;	
11	Plaintiffs,	
	VS.	
12	Stavatti Aerospace, Ltd, a Minnesota	
13	corporation; Stavatti Aerospace, Ltd, a	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEI
	Wyoming corporation; Stavatti	DEFENDANT BRIAN COLVIN'S DEPOSITION
14	Corporation, a Minnesota corporation;	DEPOSITION
15	Stavatti Immobiliare Ltd, a Wyoming corporation; Stavatti Industries, Ltd, a	
	Wyoming corporation; Stavatti Niagara,	
16	Ltd., a New York corporation Stavatti	
17	Super Fulcrum, Ltd, a Wyoming	
10	corporation; Stavatti Ukraine, a Ukrainian	
18	business entity; Stavatti Heavy Industries	
19	Ltd, a Hawaii corporation; Christopher Beskar and Maja Beskar, husband and wife;	
20	Brian Colvin and Corrina Colvin, husband	
20	and wife; John Simon and Jean Simon,	
21	husband and wife; William Mcewen and	
22	Patricia Mcewen, husband wife; Rudy	
22	Chacon and Jane Doe Chacon, husband and	
23	wife; and Does 1 through 10, inclusive,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		

Plaintiff Valentino Dimitrov, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this Motion to Compel the Deposition of Brian Colvin, and in support thereof, states as follows:

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 1. On or about December 31, 2024, Plaintiff's served a Notice of Deposition on Mr. Colvin, scheduling his deposition for January 24, 2024 at 9:00 am in Phoenix, Arizona. A copy of the Notice of Deposition is attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 2. On January 24, 2025, Mr. Colvin failed to appear for his deposition as scheduled.

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

- 1. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a), a party may depose any person, including a non-party witness, upon proper notice.
- 2. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a)(3)(B)(i) permits a party to move for an order compelling discovery, including a deposition, when a deponent fails to appear without justification.
- 3. Mr. Colvin was properly noticed for his deposition and had a legal obligation to appear or seek a protective order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). His failure to do so violates the discovery rules.
- 4. Compelling the deposition of Mr. Colvin is necessary to ensure the fair and efficient resolution of this case. Mr. Colvin possesses critical information as a named Defendant that cannot be obtained from other sources.

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court:

1. Grant this Motion to Compel the Deposition of Defendant Brian Colvin;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

2.	Order Defendant Brian Colvin to appear for deposition on a date and time
specified by t	the Court or as otherwise agreed upon by the parties;

- 3. Award reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred in bringing this Motion, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a)(5); and
 - 4. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 24th day of January 2025.

ENARA LAW, PLLC

By: /s/ George K. Chebat
George K. Chebat
Ross P. Meyer
Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 24th day of January 2025, a copy of the foregoing was transmitted electronically to the CM/ECF filing system for filing and transmittal along with copies transmitted to all parties and counsel of record via the CM/ECF system.

6 Dry Shally N. Wita an A.C.D.

By: Shelly N. Witgen, ACP